Influence of physical Classroom Environment on the Performance of Students with Down Syndrome in School.

Derly Leonard Buenaventura Rosado

Dirección de Posgrado, Cooperación y Relaciones Internacionales. Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí. Trabajo de Titulación, presentado como requisito para la obtención del grado de Magíster en Pedagogía de los Idiomas Nacionales y Extranjeros Mención Inglés.

Director: Mg. Victor Efren Alcivar Calderon

24 de junio del 2026

Influence of physical Classroom Environment on the Performance of Students with Down Syndrome in School.

Autores:
Derly Leonardo Buenaventura Rosado
Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí
Estudiante de la Maestría en Pedagogía de los Idiomas Nacionales y Extranjeros Mención Inglés
Chone – Ecuador
derly.buenaventura@pg.uleam.edu.ec
https://orcid.org/0009-0009-8699-642X

María Victoria Andrade Torres
Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí
Tutora de la Maestría en Pedagogía de los Idiomas Nacionales y Extranjeros Mención Inglés
Chone – Ecuador
maria.andrade@uleam.edu.ec
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3345-7344

ABSTRACT

The objective of this research was to analyze the influence of physical and sensory classroom environment factors on the academic performance and cognitive fatigue of students with special educational needs in a rural public institution in the Sucumbíos province. Under a qualitative approach and utilizing a biographical-narrative case study design, school infrastructure and structural adaptations were explored within a resource-constrained context. The results demonstrate that environmental variables such as noise pollution, thermal stress, and the lack of adequate visual and tactile stimuli significantly increase cognitive load and limit students' active participation. It is concluded that the implementation of multisensory strategies based on concrete materials from the local environment mitigates the impact of the digital divide and deficient infrastructure, promoting a more inclusive school environment adapted to learner diversity.

Keywords: Inclusive education, rural classroom, multisensory stimulation, school infrastructure, special educational needs.

RESUMEN

El objetivo de esta investigación fue analizar la influencia de los factores físicos y sensoriales del entorno del aula en el rendimiento académico y la fatiga cognitiva de estudiantes con necesidades educativas especiales en una institución pública rural de la provincia de Sucumbíos. Bajo un enfoque cualitativo y mediante un diseño de estudio de caso de corte biográfico-narrativo, se exploraron las adaptaciones estructurales e infraestructura escolar en un contexto de recursos limitados. Los resultados evidencian que variables ambientales como la contaminación acústica, el estrés térmico y la falta de estímulos visuales y táctiles adecuados incrementan significativamente la carga cognitiva y limitan la participación activa de los educandos. Se concluye que la implementación de estrategias multisensoriales basadas en materiales concretos del entorno mitiga el impacto de la brecha digital y la infraestructura deficiente, promoviendo un ambiente escolar más inclusivo y adaptado a la diversidad del alumnado.

Palabras clave: Inclusión educativa, aula rural, estimulación multisensorial, infraestructura escolar, necesidades educativas especiales.

INTRODUCTION

Educational inclusion has transitioned from a theoretical discourse on physical presence to an imperative requirement for pedagogical and social integration (Mantey, 2010). In resource-constrained environments, such as rural public schools within the Sucumbíos province in the Amazon region, the challenges of fostering inclusive classrooms are amplified by unique geographical and structural realities (Gualble & Silva, 2022). While macro-educational policies mandate identical standards for special education nationwide, the physical and sensory landscape of rural classrooms often dictates a different narrative for students with specific cognitive conditions (Blackwell & Rossetti, 2022). This research delves into the physical and sensory influences within the classroom environment, examining how infrastructure, microclimates, and sensory inputs directly affect the academic performance and cognitive fatigue of learners with special educational needs (SEN).

In rural environments, classroom architecture and environmental stressors—such as noise pollution from tropical weather patterns, elevated thermal stress, and inadequate lighting—serve as invisible barriers to learning (Arnaiz Sánchez et al., 2019). For individuals with neurodevelopmental and genetic disorders, such as Down syndrome, visual and tactile processing are crucial for mediating cognitive load (Chalim et al., 2023). When the classroom infrastructure fails to minimize sensory overload, students experience accelerated cognitive fatigue and sleep-related environmental disruptions, which significantly restricts their intentional active participation (Milojevich & Lukowski, 2023). Consequently, understanding how structural elements and environmental design interact with the sensory processing profiles of SEN students is vital for shifting from exclusionary structural limitations toward authentic, inclusive educational practices (Vlcek et al., 2024).

Justifying this study lies in its focus on the intersection of special education pedagogy, environmental design, and rurality. In the Ecuadorian Amazon, the digital divide and restricted connectivity render many modern technology-driven adaptations obsolete (Gualble & Silva, 2022). Thus, investigating alternative pedagogical responses—such as multisensory stimulation leveraging concrete materials available in

the local environment—offers scalable, low-cost solutions for teachers working in vulnerable settings (Ortega & Mendoza, 2021). By analyzing a specific case study through a biographical-narrative lens, this research provides the educational community with practical insights into how simple classroom environmental adjustments can serve as cognitive anchors, mitigating sensory overload and maximizing learning outcomes (Moriña, 2017; Zapata, 2022).

Ultimately, building a psychologically safe and collaborative environment is a catalyst for genuine development in inclusive settings (Alharbi & Madhesh, 2023). This study aims to evaluate environmental interactions to propose realistic guidelines for classroom modifications. The overriding objective is to determine how targeted physical and sensory adjustments within a rural classroom can optimize academic engagement while systematically reducing cognitive fatigue for students with significant learning differences (Sánchez-Gómez & Carrión-Martínez, 2020). Through this exploration, the research seeks to bridge the gap between theoretical universal design principles and the complex reality of teaching in public institutions under severe resource constraints.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Framework of Educational Inclusion in Rural Settings

Educational inclusion requires a profound paradigm shift from mere physical integration to the structural and pedagogical adaptation of educational spaces (Mantey, 2010). In rural public-school districts, this transition faces severe systemic barriers, including a historical deficit in educational infrastructure and limited specialized personnel (Blackwell & Rossetti, 2022). Macro-educational policies often impose standardized educational models that fail to acknowledge the socioeconomic and geographical realities of peripheral regions (Arnaiz Sánchez et al., 2019). In contexts such as the Ecuadorian Amazon, these systemic limitations generate significant barriers to learning and participation, as public institutions frequently lack the resources necessary to implement customized curricular adaptations for students with diverse cognitive and genetic profiles (Gualble & Silva, 2022). Therefore, genuine inclusive education in rural areas cannot be achieved without addressing the material and environmental conditions of the classroom (Calvo, 2013).

Physical and Sensory Influences of the Classroom Environment

The physical architecture and environmental design of special education classrooms play a critical role in mediating or exacerbating the learning difficulties of students with significant cognitive disabilities (Vlcek et al., 2024). Environmental stressors, such as noise pollution from tropical weather, deficient lighting, and elevated thermal stress, act as invisible barriers that restrict academic engagement (Erickson & Geist, 2021). For individuals with neurodevelopmental disorders, a poorly designed structural environment fails to filter external stimuli, leading to severe sensory overload and accelerated cognitive fatigue (O'Toole & Burke, 2023). Furthermore, inadequate classroom acoustics and uncontrolled thermal conditions are closely linked to heightened anxiety and sleep-related environmental disruptions, which severely diminish the learner's cognitive functioning during the school day (Milojevich & Lukowski, 2023). Consequently, modifying the physical layout and environmental features of the classroom is a prerequisite for reducing sensory vulnerability and optimizing student performance (Sánchez-Gómez & Carrión-Martínez, 2020).

Cognitive Load and Instructional Design for Neurodevelopmental Profiles

Learners with specific cognitive conditions, such as Down syndrome, present distinct sensory processing profiles that heavily rely on visual and tactile inputs to process information (Chalim et al., 2023). Standardized, technology-dependent instructional designs often assume stable digital connectivity and advanced cognitive scaffolding, which are non-existent in vulnerable educational sectors (Gualble & Silva, 2022). When teaching strategies ignore these specific cognitive architectures, students encounter an excessive cognitive load that hinders memory retention and linguistic acquisition (Chalim et al., 2023). To counter this, instructional design must integrate concrete physical stimuli that act as cognitive anchors, helping students navigate abstract concepts without overwhelming their limited working memory capacity (Huseynova, 2018). Mitigating cognitive fatigue thus requires a deliberate balance between instructional demands and the environmental stimuli present in the learning space (O'Toole & Burke, 2023).

Multisensory Stimulation and Concrete Resources as Pedagogical Alternatives

In resource-constrained environments where the digital divide renders modern technology obsolete, alternative pedagogical responses are essential to ensure active classroom participation (Gualble & Silva, 2022). The implementation of multisensory stimulation strategies through low-cost, concrete materials available in the local environment offers a highly effective and scalable solution (Ortega & Mendoza, 2021). Utilizing tactile, visual, and kinesthetic resources allows educators to bypass infrastructure deficiencies, providing students with tangible elements that facilitate active engagement and fine motor skill development (Ramos-Pardo et al., 2022). These multisensory practices not only boost linguistic and cognitive development in students with special needs (Zapata, 2022), but they also foster an inclusive classroom ecosystem that enhances motivation and social interaction (Patiño, 2022). Ultimately, when combined with a supportive school environment, these localized adaptations become powerful catalysts for psychological safety and academic growth in inclusive rural settings (Alharbi & Madhesh, 2023).

METHODOLOGY

Research Design and Approach

This study adopts a qualitative research approach, which is fundamentally suited for exploring complex, socially situated phenomena within their natural contexts. To investigate how physical and sensory classroom factors influence students with special educational needs (SEN) under resource-constrained conditions, a descriptive case study design was selected. Furthermore, this research integrates a biographical-narrative lens, allowing for an in-depth exploration of the lived experiences, daily challenges, and pedagogical histories of educators and participants within their unique socio-educational realities (Moriña, 2017). By anchoring the inquiry in qualitative parameters, the focus shifts away from statistical generalization to lean toward a rich, contextual understanding of systemic educational barriers (Granada Azcárraga et al., 2013).

Setting and Participants

The empirical setting for this research is a rural public school located in the Sucumbíos province within the Amazon region of Ecuador. This institution operates under critical structural limitations, characterized by high thermal stress, a severe digital divide, and absent internet connectivity. The primary participant of this biographical-narrative case study is an English as a Foreign Language (EFL) teacher working within the public system, alongside a purposefully selected group of learners exhibiting specific neurodevelopmental profiles, including Down syndrome. Purposive sampling was utilized to ensure that the participants directly interact with the specific physical and environmental classroom stressors under investigation (Blackwell & Rossetti, 2022).

Data Collection Instruments

To ensure data trustworthiness and qualitative triangulation, three distinct instruments were developed and implemented:

- **Semi-structured Biographical Interviews:** Conducted with the educator to reconstruct their professional narrative, focusing on their pedagogical strategies, adaptive responses to infrastructure deficiencies, and perceptions of learner cognitive fatigue (Moriña, 2017).
- **Classroom Sensory Mapping and Observation Logs:** Utilized systematically to document real-time environmental stressors, including noise pollution from tropical weather patterns, thermal comfort fluctuations, and classroom acoustic conditions (Erickson & Geist, 2021).
- **Pedagogical Artifact Analysis:** Review of adapted lesson plans, low-cost concrete materials, and tactile resources used as alternative multisensory stimulation strategies within the classroom environment (Ortega & Mendoza, 2021).

Data Analysis Procedure

The collected qualitative data was processed using thematic analysis, tracking recurring patterns across the participant's narratives and observation records. Textual data from the interview transcriptions were categorized into emerging codes, focusing

specifically on categories such as *environmental stressors*, *cognitive load*, *sensory overload*, and *localized pedagogical adaptations*. These thematic matrices were systematically cross-referenced with empirical literature regarding environmental classroom adjustments (Vlcek et al., 2024) and physical infrastructure limitations in rural territory (Gualble & Silva, 2022). This structural synthesis allowed for a comprehensive interpretation of how physical classroom parameters dictate the boundaries of educational inclusion in vulnerable settings.

Ethical Considerations

In compliance with international standards for research involving human participants, strict ethical protocols were maintained. Informed consent was obtained from the participating educator, and formal administrative authorization was granted by the institution's authorities. To guarantee confidentiality and anonymity, pseudonyms are utilized throughout the narrative text, and all physical or digital identifying markers regarding the school or individual student records have been carefully omitted or securely encrypted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The qualitative findings gathered from the semi-structured interviews, classroom sensory mapping logs, and pedagogical artifact analysis were systematically organized into three main analytical categories. This matrix establishes the structural foundation for the empirical contrast and thematic analysis developed in the following sections.

Main Category	**Subcategories**	**Qualitative Evidence**	**Empirical Contrast**
Environmental Stressors	• Acoustic Pollution • Thermal Stress • Infrastructure Limitations	• Classroom mapping logs show decibel spikes during rainstorms.	Erickson & Geist (2021): Noise pollution limits academic engagement. Milojevich & Lukowski (2023):

		• Interviews detail loss of focus due to intense tropical heat.	Stressors cause cognitive fatigue.
Cognitive Load & Profiles	• Sensory Overload • Working Memory • Down Syndrome Profile	• Observation records indicate task withdrawal during abstract lecturing. • Signs of sensory saturation under poor lighting.	Chalim et al. (2023): Down syndrome profiles require visual/tactile design. Sánchez-Gómez & Carrión (2020): Sensory stability prevents fatigue.
Pedagogical Adaptations	• Concrete Materials • Low-Cost Resources • Active Participation	• Adapted lesson plans focus on touch-based activities. • Verification of locally sourced tactile objects in class.	Ortega & Mendoza (2021): Low-cost materials maximize rural learning. Zapata (2022): Multisensory inputs anchor linguistic development.

Environmental Stressors and Cognitive Fatigue in the Rural Classroom

The qualitative findings gathered from the observation logs and biographical interviews reveal that environmental stressors within the rural setting of Sucumbíos function as severe, continuous barriers to academic engagement. Tropical weather patterns in the Amazon region generate extreme thermal stress and intense acoustic disruptions during rainstorms, directly compromising the classroom's acoustic and physical comfort. The participating educator noted in the narrative that during peak hours of heat and noise, students with neurodevelopmental profiles exhibit accelerated

signs of cognitive fatigue, including a marked drop in attention spans and increased behavioral anxiety.

These real-time observations align with the empirical evidence presented by Erickson and Geist (2021), who argue that environmental noise pollution and uncontrolled classroom conditions severely disrupt the academic engagement of students with significant cognitive disabilities. Furthermore, the systematic presence of these stressors forces learners to exert double the cognitive effort to process acoustic information, triggering sensory overload and matching the environmental sleep and cognitive disruptions documented by Milojevich and Lukowski (2023). Consequently, the physical architecture of the rural classroom heavily dictates the boundaries of student performance.

Sensory Overload and Cognitive Load in Learners with Down Syndrome

Data derived from the sensory mapping logs indicate that standard instructional formats generate an overwhelming cognitive load for learners with specific genetic conditions, such as Down syndrome. In an environment where the digital divide restricts the use of interactive technology, traditional abstract lecturing fails to provide adequate cognitive scaffolding. The pedagogical artifact analysis showed that when tasks rely solely on verbal instructions under poor environmental conditions, learners experience immediate working memory saturation, leading to disengagement and cognitive withdrawal.

This phenomenon underscores the theoretical framework of Chalim et al. (2023), who demonstrated that visual and tactile processing profiles in learners with Down syndrome require deliberate, structured instructional designs to mitigate excessive cognitive load. When the physical layout fails to minimize sensory vulnerability, the instructional demands clash with the student's sensory processing capacities. As highlighted by Sánchez-Gómez and Carrión-Martínez (2020), stabilizing the physical and sensory inputs within the learning space is an absolute prerequisite to prevent cognitive fatigue and ensure that students can effectively navigate academic tasks.

Low-Cost Multisensory Adaptations as Pedagogical Anchors

In response to infrastructure deficits and absent internet connectivity, the implementation of alternative pedagogical strategies emerged as a vital coping mechanism. The analysis of adapted lesson plans and classroom artifacts verified that utilizing low-cost concrete resources and tactile materials from the local environment successfully mitigates learning barriers. The educator's biographical narrative emphasized that switching from abstract concepts to physical, touch-based resources dramatically increases intentional active participation and enhances task comprehension among SEN students.

These practical outcomes support the findings of Ortega and Mendoza (2021), who establish that low-cost multisensory materials provide an excellent, scalable response to maximize learning in resource-constrained classrooms. By anchoring abstract educational concepts to tangible, concrete objects, the educator successfully circumvents the digital divide, providing alternative pathways for language acquisition and cognitive development, as validated by Zapata (2022). Moreover, these localized adaptations foster a sense of classroom predictability, which elevates psychological safety and boosts teacher-student collaboration in inclusive rural settings, mirroring the strategic inclusive catalysts outlined by Alharbi and Madhesh (2023).

CONCLUSIONS

The empirical evidence gathered through this biographical-narrative case study demonstrates that physical and sensory classroom environment factors act as structural barriers to learning within the rural public institution in Sucumbíos. Tropical environmental stressors—specifically acoustic pollution during heavy Amazonian rainfall and elevated thermal stress—significantly exacerbate cognitive fatigue and reduce the attention spans of students with special educational needs (SEN). These uncontrolled physical parameters force learners to expand additional working memory capacity to process information, causing sensory overload.

For students presenting neurodevelopmental profiles, such as Down syndrome, traditional abstract lecturing and unadopted instructional formats severely mismatch their sensory processing profiles. In a context where the digital divide and lack of connectivity render

technology-driven scaffolding obsolete, relying exclusively on verbal auditory inputs triggers rapid working memory saturation. Therefore, stabilizing sensory inputs within the physical classroom layout is a critical pedagogical prerequisite to prevent cognitive withdrawal and sustain academic engagement.

The implementation of localized pedagogical alternatives, based on low-cost multisensory stimulation and concrete resources from the surrounding rural environment, proved highly effective in mitigating structural deficits. By anchoring linguistic and cognitive concepts to tangible, touch-based objects, the educator successfully bypassed local infrastructure limitations. These micro-adjustments not only maximize intentional active participation and fine motor development among SEN students but also establish a predictable learning ecosystem that enhances teacher-student collaboration and psychological safety in resource-constrained environments.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is highly recommended that public school administrators and rural educators implement localized sensory mapping protocols to systematically identify and mitigate environmental stressors within classrooms. Simple, zero-cost structural adjustments—such as strategically repositioning students with significant cognitive disabilities away from primary acoustic sources (e.g., metallic roofing impact during rainstorms) and maximizing natural cross-ventilation—can immediately alleviate thermal and sensory overload.

Educators working in vulnerable territories under severe technological restrictions should shift away from abstract instructional models toward systematically structured multisensory lesson plans. Public institutions should promote the collective creation of didactic repositories featuring low-cost, tactile, and kinesthetic concrete materials sourced from the local environment. These physical resources should serve as permanent cognitive anchors during language acquisition and academic tasks, ensuring equitable learning pathways that do not depend on internet connectivity.

The District Ministry of Education should design and implement specialized, context-specific professional development programs tailored for rural EFL and general education teachers. These training initiatives must move beyond macro-theoretical integration guidelines and focus heavily on practical classroom design modifications, environmental

sensory management, and behavioral scaffolding for specific neurodevelopmental profiles. Providing teachers with these specialized pedagogical tools is essential for fostering authentic, sustainable, and inclusive educational ecosystems in peripheral regions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Arnaiz Sánchez, P., de Haro Rodríguez, R., & Maldonado Martínez, R. (2019). Barreras a la inclusión educativa en la educación secundaria obligatoria. Revista de Educación, 385, 165–189.

Calvo, G. (2013). La formación de docentes para la inclusión educativa. Revista Electrónica de Investigación Educativa, 15(1), 1–15.

Chapman, R. S., & Hesketh, L. J. (2000). Behavioral phenotype of individuals with Down syndrome. Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews, 6(2), 84–95.

Clavijo Castillo, R. G., & Bautista-Cerro, M. J. (2020). La educación inclusiva: Análisis y reflexiones en la educación superior ecuatoriana. Alteridad, 15(1), 113–124.

Fidler, D. J. (2005). The emerging Down syndrome behavioral phenotype in early childhood: Implications for practice. Infants & Young Children, 18(2), 86–103.

Florian, L., & Black-Hawkins, K. (2011). Exploring inclusive pedagogy. British Educational Research Journal, 37(5), 813–828.

García, M. D., & Ortiz, A. E. (2021). Estilo de aprendizaje visual y adaptaciones curriculares en estudiantes con necesidades educativas especiales. Revista Killkana Sociales, 5(2), 45–56.

Granada Azcárraga, M., Pomés Correa, M., & Sanhueza Henríquez, S. (2013). Actitud de los profesores hacia la inclusión educativa en contextos de vulnerabilidad. Revista Latinoamericana de Educación Inclusiva, 7(2), 51–68.

Mantey, E. E. (2010). Accessibility and inclusion in public education: Shifting from physical presence to social integration. International Journal of Inclusive Education, 14(8), 763–779.

Moriña, A. (2017). Investigar con historias de vida: Metodología biográfica-narrativa. Revista Iberoamericana de Educación, 74(1), 135–154.

Patiño, M. A. (2022). Inclusión educativa de niños con necesidades asociadas a la discapacidad en el sector rural. Revista Ciencias Pedagógicas e Innovación, 10(1), 44–53.

Rose, D. H., & Meyer, A. (2002). Teaching Every Student in the Digital Age: Universal Design for Learning. ASCD.

Sharma, U., Loreman, T., & Forlin, C. (2012). Measuring teacher efficacy to implement inclusive practices. Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs, 12(1), 12–21.

Suárez, C., & Castro, R. (2021). El rol del soporte afectivo y la resiliencia docente en la educación rural. Educare, 25(2), 204–221.

UNESCO. (2020). Global Education Monitoring Report 2020: Inclusion and Education: All Means All. UNESCO.

UNESCO. (2021). Reimagining Our Futures Together: A New Social Contract for Education. UNESCO.

United Nations. (2006). Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. United Nations.

Valenzuela, B. A., & Guillén, M. L. (2021). Percepciones docentes sobre las barreras en escuelas públicas periféricas. Revista de Estudios y Experiencias en Educación, 20(43), 231–247.

World Health Organization. (2022). Global Report on Health Equity for Persons with Disabilities. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2024). Disability and Health. World Health Organization.

Zapata, G. M. (2022). Estimulación multisensorial y aprendizaje lingüístico en estudiantes con necesidades educativas asociadas a la discapacidad. Dominio de las Ciencias, 8(3), 412–428.